

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Vigniia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO
10/015,764	12/17/2001	Sergio Zambelli	35424/GM/1p	2863
75	90 06/13/2003			
MODIANO & ASSOCIATI			EXAMINER	
Via Meravigli, 16 20123 MILANO,			NGUYEN	v, CHI Q
ITALY			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			3635	
			DATE MAILED: 06/13/2003	,

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

<u> </u>							
ry .	Ap	plication No.	Applicant(s)				
Office Action Common		/015,764	ZAMBELLI ET AL.	ZAMBELLI ET AL.			
Office Action Summary		aminer	Art Unit				
		i Q Nguyen	3635				
The MAILING DATE of this con Period for Reply	mmunication appears	on the cover s	sheet with the correspondence address				
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PER THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COM - Extensions of time may be available under the pr after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of the - if the period for reply specified above is less than - if NO period for reply is specified above, the max - Failure to reply within the set or extended period - Any reply received by the Office later than three r earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.76	MUNICATION. ovisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). nis communication. thirty (30) days, a reply withi imum statutory period will app for reply will, by statute, caus months after the mailing date	In no event, howeven the statutory minimally and will expire SI2 to the application to b	er, may a reply be timely filed num of thirty (30) days will be considered timely. X (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. secome ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
1)⊠ Responsive to communicatio	n(s) filed on <u>17 Dece</u>	<u>mber 2001</u> .					
2a)☐ This action is FINAL .	2b)⊠ This ad	tion is non-fina	al.				
3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.							
Disposition of Claims	- 46						
4) Claim(s) 1-16 is/are pending i			iaa				
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.							
	5) Claim(s) 7-16 is/are allowed.						
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-6</u> is/are rejected.							
	7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.						
8) Claim(s) are subject to Application Papers	restriction and/or ele	ction requirem	ent.				
9)☐ The specification is objected to	•						
10)⊠ The drawing(s) filed on <u>17 December 2001</u> is/are: a)⊠ accepted or b)⊡ objected to by the Examiner.							
,,	Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).						
11)☐ The proposed drawing correction filed on is: a)☐ approved b)☐ disapproved by the Examiner.							
If approved, corrected drawings			on.				
12) ☐ The oath or declaration is object	cted to by the Examir	ier.					
Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 12							
13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).							
a)⊠ All b)□ Some * c)□ None of:							
1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.							
2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No							
	International Bureau	(PCT Rule 17					
14) Acknowledgment is made of a c	laim for domestic pri	ority under 35	U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional applicatio	n).			
a) ☐ The translation of the fore							
Attachment(s)	·						
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Re 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1)		5) 🔲 1	nterview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s) Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152) Other:				
U.S. Patent and Trademark Office PTO-326 (Rev. 04-01)	Office Action	Summary	Part of Paper No. 2				

Application/Control Number: 10/015,764

Art Unit: 3635

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

- 1. The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:
 - The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.
- 2. Claim 6 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

Regarding claim 6, the limitation recites as "having any of a head and axial shoulder..." is confusing.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

3. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.
- 4. Claims 1-3 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Eriksson (US 3,705,469).

Eriksson teaches anchor insert and setting cone for a pre-cast concrete body comprising a tubular body 40, lifting device 22, a resilient elastomeric foam rubber or plastic filler piece 64 is adapted to be inserted into the slot beneath the lift rod 22 in order to exclude the entrance of concrete into the slot at the time the wet concrete is poured into the concrete forms (col. 4, lines 57-62), expansion means 50 comprises two

Application/Control Number: 10/015,764

Art Unit: 3635

axial grooves or abutments for the rubber piece 64 interposed there between, traction means 44 comprises a screw 44 (figs. 1-5).

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 5. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 6. Claims 4-6 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Eriksson (US 3,705,469).

Eriksson teaches anchor insert and setting cone for a pre-cast concrete body comprising a tubular body 40, lifting device 22, a resilient elastomeric foam rubber or plastic filler piece 64 is adapted to be inserted into the slot beneath the lift rod 22 in order to exclude the entrance of concrete into the slot at the time the wet concrete is poured into the concrete forms (col. 4, lines 57-62), expansion means 50 comprises two axial grooves or abutments for the rubber piece 64 interposed there between, traction means 44 comprises a screw 44 is engaging a threaded hole 42 (figs. 1-5). The screw 44 having a head 269, an axial shoulder 263 (figs. 10-11).

Eriksson does not teach expressly the abutments are formed by a first plate, a second plate, where the elastically deformable element interposed between. At the time of the invention, it would have been obvious to a person of ordinary skill in the art to use the groove having two opposed walls serve to interpose the elastically deformable element for radial expansion when the traction control such screw rotating forward to compress

Application/Control Number: 10/015,764

Art Unit: 3635

it. Examiner takes Official Notice the fact that the groove for interposing the elastically deformable element in between having two separated walls is obvious functional equivalent as two plates of the abutments.

Allowable Subject Matter

7. Claims 7-16 are allowed.

The following is an examiner's statement of reasons for allowance: The prior art fail to disclose or render obvious the claimed combination including a shaft is supported a sealing means, which having an elastically flexible disk as specifically set forth in the claims.

Conclusion

8. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure. Annable (US 3,873,147), Tye (US 4,179,151), Wilner (US 4,068,878), Krawczyk (US 6,353,971) teach anchor structural elements.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Chi Q. Nguyen whose telephone number is (703) 605-1224, Monday-Thursday (7:00-5:30), Fridays off or examiner's supervisor, Carl Friedman can be reached at (703) 308-0839. The fax number for the organization where this application or proceeding assigned are (703) 872-9326 for regular communications and (703) 872-9327 for After Final communications.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 308-1113.

Just 1

CQN 6/9/03

Carl D: Friedman
Supervisory Patent Examiner
Group 3600